# **Rare leptonic and semileptonic charm decays at LHCb**

Daniel Unverzagt\* on behalf of the LHCb collaboration

\*Physikalisches Institut Heidelberg

July 17-21, 2023, Charm







## Rare (semi)leptonic charm decays

Semileptonic transitions (FCNC)

down-type	up-type
$b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$	$t \rightarrow c l^+ l^-$
$b \rightarrow dl^+ l^-$	$t \rightarrow u l^+ l^-$
$s \rightarrow dl^+ l^-$	$c \rightarrow u l^+ l^-$



• Rare decays: Branching ratios  $\leq O(10^{-6})$  and decays able to test Flavour Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC)

## Rare (semi)leptonic charm decays

- Rare decays: Branching ratios  $\leq O(10^{-6})$  and decays able to test Flavour Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC)
- Charm decays provide a unique probe, only bound system to study up-typ FCNC
- Some New Physics (NP) models predict enhancements in decay rates, CP asymmetries or angular observables

Semileptonic transitions (FCNC)

down-type	up-type
$b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$	$t \rightarrow c l^+ l^-$
$b \rightarrow dl^+ l^-$	$t \rightarrow u l^+ l^-$
$s \rightarrow dl^+ l^-$	$c \rightarrow u l^+ l^-$

### Landscape of rare and forbidden charm decays

$$D^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+}e^{-}$$
$$D^{0} \rightarrow pe^{-}$$
$$D^{+}_{(s)} \rightarrow h^{+}\mu^{+}e^{-}$$

LFV, LNV,	BNV			FC	NC				VMD	J	Radia	tive
0	10 <sup>-15</sup>	10 <sup>-14</sup>	10 <sup>-13</sup>	10 <sup>-12</sup>	10 <sup>-11</sup>	10 <sup>-10</sup>	10 <sup>-9</sup>	10 <sup>-8</sup>	10 <sup>-7</sup>	10 <sup>-6</sup>	10 <sup>-5</sup>	10 <sup>-4</sup>
$D^+_{(s)} \to h^- l^+ l^+$ $D^0 \to X^0 \mu^+ e^-$ $D^0 \to X^{} l^+ l^+$			<b>D</b> <sup>0</sup>	$D^0 \rightarrow ee$	$\rightarrow \mu\mu$	$D^{0} \to \pi^{0}$ $D^{0} \to \rho^{0}$ $D^{0} \to K^{0}$ $D^{0} \to \phi^{0}$	$\pi^{+}l^{+}l^{-}$ $l^{+}l^{-}$ $K^{-}l^{+}l^{-}$ $l^{+}l^{-}$	$D^{0} \rightarrow$ $D^{0} \rightarrow$ $D^{0} \rightarrow$	$K^{+}\pi^{-}V(-)$ $\overline{K}^{*0}V(-)$ $\gamma\gamma$	→ II) D II) D D	$^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \phi$ $^{0} \rightarrow K^{-} \pi$ $^{0} \rightarrow K^{*0} V$	$(\rightarrow ll)$ $T^+V(\rightarrow ll)$ $V(\rightarrow ll)$

$D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow \pi^+ l^+ l^-$	$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ V(\rightarrow ll)$	$D^0 \to K^{*0}$
$D^+_{,} \rightarrow K^+ l^+ l^-$	$D^0 \to \rho \ V(\to ll)$	$D^0 \rightarrow (\phi, \rho, \phi)$
$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ l^+ l^-$	$D^0 \to K^+ K^- V(\to ll)$	$D^+$ $(\varphi, \rho, \omega)$
$D^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}l^+l^-$	$D^0 \rightarrow \phi V(\rightarrow ll)$	$D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \phi(\rightarrow ll)$
	, , ,	



γ

• Test new amplitudes

Test new phases

• Test Lorentz structure



• Test new amplitudes

$$\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}_0 \left( \frac{c_{SM}}{m_W^2} + \frac{c_{NP}}{\Lambda_{NP}^2} \right)$$

• Test new phases

 $\sim |\mathscr{A}_{SM}| |\mathscr{A}_{NP}| \sin \Delta \phi_{NP}$ 

• Test Lorentz structure

$$\sim \bar{\Psi} \Gamma_{NP} \Psi$$



• Test new amplitudes

extremely suppressed due  $\Rightarrow$ below experimental sensitivity  $\mathscr{B} < \mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$ to GIM

• Test new phases

$$\mathrm{Im}(\frac{\mathrm{V_{cb}^{*}V_{ub}}}{\mathrm{V_{cd}^{*}V_{ud}}}) \sim 10^{-3} \Rightarrow \mathrm{A_{CP}} \sim 0$$

• Test Lorentz structure

no lepton axial vector coupling due to GIM suppression parity conservation



• Test new amplitudes

$$\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}_0 \left( \frac{c_{SM}}{m_W^2} + \frac{c_{NP}}{\Lambda_{NP}^2} \right) \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{Enhancements possible} \\ \text{up to } \mathcal{O}(10^{-7}) \end{array}$$

• Test new phases

~  $|\mathscr{A}_{SM}| |\mathscr{A}_{NP}| \sin \Delta \phi_{NP} \Rightarrow CPV$  effects up to a few %

• Test Lorentz structure

 $\sim \overline{\Psi}\Gamma_{NP}\Psi \Rightarrow$  modified or enhanced



New Physics contributions examples:





dynamics competing with loop-diagrams.

Example Short Distance (SD) contribution: Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 181805 (2017)



- Precise theoretical predictions are difficult for the branching fractions ( $m_c \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$ ) resulting in predictions with high uncertainty.
- Task is to find ways to look for NP despite LD dominance:

- Searches in certain regions of the phase space

- Null tests based on (approximate) symmetries

#### • Rare Charm decays are often dominated by Long Distance interactions (mesonic vector resonances) with tree-level

Example Long Distance (LD) contribution: Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 181805 (2017)





- LHCb is a forward spectrometer at the LHC, optimised to study b- and c-hadrons
- Excellent vertex resolution, momentum resolution  $\sigma_p/p \sim 0.5 \%$
- Particle identification with calorimeter, muon stations and Cherenkov detectors (RICH), particle misidentification rate  $\sim 1 \%$

- Worlds largest sample of charm decays: More than  $10 \times 10^{12} c\overline{c}$  pairs produced within the LHCb acceptance between 2015 and 2018
  - The charm cross section is ~20 times larger than the b cross section [JHEP03(2016)159]



In general:

• Small transverse momentum  $\rightarrow$  hard to trigger on (difficult but LHCb is build for this)



In general:

• Small transverse momentum  $\rightarrow$  hard to trigger on (difficult but LHCb is build for this)

Muons:

• Dedicated muon chambers allow for excellent muon identification and reconstruction in addition to the tracking stations





In general:

• Small transverse momentum  $\rightarrow$  hard to trigger on (difficult but LHCb is build for this)

Muons:

 Dedicated muon chambers allow for excellent muon identification and reconstruction in addition to the tracking stations

Electrons:

• The electron emits bremsstrahlung before magnet  $\rightarrow$  limited efficiency on bremsstrahlung recovery







#### Story of rare (semi)leptonic charm decays at LHCb\* \*omitting superseded measurements

- 2015: First observation of the decay  $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$  in the  $\rho^0 \omega$  region of the dimuon mass spectrum Phys. Lett. B 757 (2016) 558
- 2015: Search for the lepton-flavour violating decay  $D^0 \rightarrow e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$ Phys. Lett. B 754 (2016) 167
- 2017: Rarest observed charm meson decays  $D^0 \rightarrow KK\mu\mu$  and  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi\mu\mu$ with branching fraction ~10<sup>-7</sup> Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 181805 (2017)
- 2018: Search for the rare decay  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$
- 2021: Searches for 25 rare and forbidden decays of  $D^+$  and  $D_s^+$  mesons JHEP 06 (2021) 044
- 2021: Angular analysis of  $D^0 \to \pi \pi \mu \mu$  and  $D^0 \to K K \mu \mu$  decays and search for CP violation

Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 221801 (2022)

- 2022: Search for rare decays of  $D^0$  mesons into two muons arXiv:2212.11203v1 [hep-ex] 21 Dec 2022
- 2023: Search for  $D^*(2007)^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$  in  $B^- \to \pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$  decays arXiv:2304.01981v2 [hep-ex] 5 Apr 2023



#### Story of rare (semi)leptonic charm decays at LHCb\* \*omitting superseded measurements

- 2015: First observation of the decay  $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$  in the  $\rho^0 \omega$  region of the dimuon mass spectrum Phys. Lett. B 757 (2016) 558
- 2015: Search for the lepton-flavour violating decay  $D^0 \rightarrow e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$ Phys. Lett. B 754 (2016) 167
- 2017: Rarest observed charm meson decays  $D^0 \to KK\mu\mu$  and  $D^0 \to \pi\pi\mu\mu$ with branching fraction ~10<sup>-7</sup> Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 181805 (2017)
- 2018: Search for the rare decay  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$
- 2021: Searches for 25 rare and forbidden decays of  $D^+$  and  $D_s^+$  mesons JHEP 06 (2021) 044
- 2021: Angular analysis of  $D^0 \to \pi \pi \mu \mu$  and  $D^0 \to K K \mu \mu$  decays and search for CP violation Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 221801 (2022)
- 2022: Search for rare decays of  $D^0$  mesons into two muons arXiv:2212.11203v1 [hep-ex] 21 Dec 2022
- 2023: Search for  $D^*(2007)^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$  in  $B^- \to \pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$  decays arXiv:2304.01981v2 [hep-ex] 5 Apr 2023



#### Story of rare (semi)leptonic charm decays at LHCb\* \*omitting superseded measurements

- 2015: First observation of the decay  $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$  in the  $\rho^0 \omega$  region of the dimuon mass spectrum Phys. Lett. B 757 (2016) 558
- 2015: Search for the lepton-flavour violating decay  $D^0 \rightarrow e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$ Phys. Lett. B 754 (2016) 167
- 2017: Rarest observed charm meson decays  $D^0 \to KK\mu\mu$  and  $D^0 \to \pi\pi\mu\mu$ with branching fraction ~10<sup>-7</sup> Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 181805 (2017)
- 2018: Search for the rare decay  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p \mu^+ \mu^-$
- 2021: Searches for 25 rare and forbidden decays of  $D^+$  and  $D_s^+$  mesons JHEP 06 (2021) 044
- 2021: Angular analysis of  $D^0 \to \pi \pi \mu \mu$  and  $D^0 \to K K \mu \mu$  decays and search for CP violation Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 221801 (2022)
- 2022: Search for rare decays of  $D^0$  mesons into two muons arXiv:2212.11203v1 [hep-ex] 21 Dec 2022
- 2023: Search for  $D^*(2007)^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$  in  $B^- \to \pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$  decays arXiv:2304.01981v2 [hep-ex] 5 Apr 2023



### Latest Measurements





#### Long distance contribution:

- Expected to be dominated by intermediate two  $\gamma$  state Phys. Rev. D 66, 014009 (2002)
- Expected branching ratio  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-13})$ Phys. Rev. D 66, 014009 (2002)
- Branching ratio contribution of intermediate two  $\gamma$  state below  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-11})$  due to experimental limit on  $D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  by Belle Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 051102

#### Short distance contribution:

- Expected branching ratio  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-18})$ Phys. Rev. D 66, 014009 (2002)
- Strong chirality suppression
- Rate could be enhanced by NP!

 $\rightarrow$  null test!



Phys. Rev. D 66, 014009 (2002)





• Goal is to set a limit on or measure the branching ratio:

$$\mathscr{B}(D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{N(D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\sigma(pp \to D^0) \, \mathscr{L}^{int}} \times$$

• Difficult to measure absolute rates at LHCb due to large uncertainties on cross section and luminosity

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon(D^0\to\mu^+\mu^-)}$$



Determined by fit to the invariant  $D^0$  mass and  $\Delta m(\mu\mu)$ 

$$\mathscr{B}(D^{0} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-}) = \frac{N(D^{0} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})}{N(D^{0} \to h^{(\prime)-}h^{+})} \times \frac{\epsilon(D^{0} \to h^{(\prime)-}h^{+})}{\epsilon(D^{0} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})} \times \mathscr{B}(D^{0} \to h^{(\prime)-}h^{+})$$

From simulations, corrected and cross checked by data driven methods

• The relative branching fraction is normalised to  $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$  and  $D^0 \to \pi^- \pi^+$  donated as  $D^0 \to h^{(\prime)-} h^+$ 

External input:  $\mathscr{B}(D^0 \to K^- \pi^+) \sim (10^{-2})$  $\mathscr{B}(D^0 \to \pi^- \pi^+) \sim (10^{-3})$ 



- Topological and kinematic properties are used to reconstruct possible candidates
- $D^0$  produced in  $D^{*+}$  decays are used, to suppress background:

$$\Delta m = m(\mu^{+}\mu^{-}\pi^{+}) - m(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$$



# Search for the decay $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



• Fit to  $m(\mu\mu)$  and  $\Delta m$ 

 $\Delta m = m(\mu^{+}\mu^{-}\pi^{+}) - m(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$ 

- Number of misidentified,  $\pi \to \mu, D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$  decays constraint by MC studies
- Validated and crosschecked with data driven methods
- No significant signal is observed



# Search for the decay $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

#### Signal mode



Most stringent limit on leptonic charm decays

 $\mathscr{B}(D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) \le 3.1 \times 10^{-9} \ (90 \% CL)$ 

arXiv:2212.11203v1 [hep-ex] 21 Dec 2022

### Search for the decay $D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ in $B^- \rightarrow \pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$ decays

р

arXiv:2304.01981v2 [hep-ex] 5 Apr 2023

Used dataset:

- Run 1 (2011-2012) and Run 2 (2015 - 2018)
- Center of mass energy: 7, 8 and 13 TeV
- Luminosity: 9.0  $fb^{-1}$

Using  $D^*(2007)^0$  arising from  $B^-$  for better background separation





### Search for the decay $D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ in $B^- \rightarrow \pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$ decays

arXiv:2304.01981v2 [hep-ex] 5 Apr 2023

- Never been measured before
- Contrary to  $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  (pseudo-scalar) the excited vector state  $D^*(2007)^0$ decaying to two muons has no chirality suppression
- Assuming Lepton Universality, decays with muon and electrons should have same branching ratio\* \*apart from phase space arguments
- SM prediction for the branching ratio  $\mathscr{B}(D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-) \sim \mathscr{O}(10^{-18})$ JHEP 11 (2015) 142
- CMD-3:

 $\mathscr{B}(D^*(2007)^0 \to e^+e^-) \le 1.7 \times 10^{-6} \ (90 \% CL)$ Phys. Atom. Nucl. 83 (2020) 954



### Search for the decay $D^*(2007)^{U}$

arXiv:2304.01981v2 [hep-ex] 5 Apr 2023

- Normalised to  $B^- \to J/\psi (\to \mu^+ \mu^-) \pi^-$
- Additional branching fraction information needed to calculate  $\mathscr{B}(D^{*0} \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$

$$\mathscr{B}(D^{*0} \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{N(B^- \to D^{*0}(\to \mu^+ \mu^-)\pi^-)}{N(B^- \to J/\psi(\to \mu^+ \mu^-)K^-)} \times \frac{\varepsilon(B^- \to J/\psi(\to \mu^+ \mu^-)K^-)}{\varepsilon(B^- \to D^{*0}(\to \mu^+ \mu^-)\pi^-)} \times \frac{\mathscr{B}(B^- \to J/\psi K^-)}{\mathscr{B}(B^- \to D^{*0}\pi^-)} \times \frac{\mathscr{B}(J/\psi \to \mu^- \mu^+)}{\mathscr{B}(J/\psi \to \mu^- \mu^+)}$$

Determined by fit to the invariant  $D^{*0}$  and  $B^$ mass

From simulations, corrected and cross checked by data driven methods

$$^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$$
 in  $B^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$  decays

External input:  $\mathscr{B}(J/\psi \to \mu^- \mu^+) \sim (10^{-2})$  $\mathscr{B}(B^- \to J/\psi K^-) \sim (10^{-3})$  $\mathscr{B}(B^- \to D^{*0}\pi^-) \sim (10^{-3})$ 

Exp. Phys. 2022 (2022) 083C01



### Search for the decay $D^*(2007)^6$

arXiv:2304.01981v2 [hep-ex] 5 Apr 2023

- Two dimensional fit to  $m(\mu\mu)$  and  $m(\pi\mu\mu)$
- Background due to a wrongly identified kaon and no dimuon spectrum



$$^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$$
 in  $B^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$  decays

Background due to a wrongly identified kaon and non resonant  $B^- \to \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$  is flat within the fit range in the

29



### Search for the decay $D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ in $B^- \rightarrow \pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$ decays

arXiv:2304.01981v2 [hep-ex] 5 Apr 2023

• First limit for  $D^*(2007)^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ :

```
\mathscr{B}(D^*(2007)^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-) \le 2.6 \times 10^{-8} \ (90 \% \ CL)
```

• Assuming LFU, increases constraints on  $D^*(2007)^{\overline{0}} \rightarrow e^+e^-$  set by CMD-3 by two orders of magnitude





#### $\times 10^{-7}$

#### Searches for rare decays of charged $D^+$ and $D_c^+$ mesons JHEP 06 (2021) 044

Used dataset:

- Run 2 (only 2016)
- Center of mass energy: 13 TeV
- Luminosity:  $1.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

Study of 25 rare and forbidden decays

 $\rightarrow$  forbidden decays provide perfect null test

 $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \mu^+ e^+$  $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ \mu^-$ 

 $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ e^ D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^ D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^+$  $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ e^ D^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ \mu^-$ 





 $D_{\rm c}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ e^ D_{\rm s}^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \mu^+ e^+$ 

 $\begin{array}{cccc} D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^- & D^+ \to \pi^+ e^+ e^- & D^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^- & D_s^+ \to \pi^+ e^+ \mu^- & D_s^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ e^- \\ D^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^+ & D^+ \to \pi^- e^+ e^+ & D_s^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^- & D_s^+ \to \pi^+ e^+ e^- & D_s^+ \to K^- \mu^+ e^+ \end{array}$  $D_{\rm s}^+ \to \pi^- e^+ e^+ \qquad D_{\rm s}^+ \to K^+ e^+ \mu^ D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu^+ \mu^ D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^ D_{\rm s}^+ \rightarrow K^- \mu^+ \mu^+$  $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^- e^+ e^+$ 



### Searches for rare decays of charged $D^+$ and $D_s^+$ mesons

JHEP 06 (2021) 044

- For SM allowed decays, resonant area,  $q = m(ll) \in [525 \text{ MeV}, 1250 \text{ MeV}]$ , containing  $D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow V(\rightarrow l^+l^-)\pi^+$  with  $V = \eta, \rho^0/\omega, \phi$  and  $l = \mu, e$ , vetoed
- Normalised to  $\phi$  resonance  $D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \phi(\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)\pi^+$ and  $D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \phi(\rightarrow e^+ e^-)\pi^+$  for decays with muons and electrons, respectively





#### Searches for rare decays of charged $D^+$ and $D_c^+$ mesons JHEP 06 (2021) 044

- Normalisation yield estimated by fit to  $m(\pi^+\mu^-\mu^+)$  and  $m(\pi^+e^-e^+)$
- A bremsstrahlung reconstruction procedure is used to correct the momentum for the electron candidates







#### Searches for rare decays of charged $D^+$ and $D_s^+$ mesons JHEP 06 (2021) 044

- Fit to three body invariant mass
- PID selection to suppress mis-identified background due to hadronic decays
- BDT selection to suppress combinatorial background
- Branching fractions are normalised to  $D^+_{(s)} \to \phi(\to \mu^+\mu^-)\pi^+$



#### Searches for rare decays of charged $D^+$ and $D_s^+$ mesons JHEP 06 (2021) 044

- Fit to three body invariant mass
- PID selection to suppress mis-identified background due to hadronic decays
- BDT selection to suppress combinatorial background
- Branching fractions are normalised to  $D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \phi(\rightarrow e^+e^-)\pi^+$



#### Searches for rare decays of charged $D^+$ and $D^+_{c}$ mesons JHEP 06 (2021) 044

- Provides competitive results, improves old limits up to two orders of magnitude
- Background only hypothesis is consistent with the results







#### Searches for rare decays of charged $D^+$ and $D^+_c$ mesons JHEP 06 (2021) 044

- Provides competitive results, improves old limits up to two orders of magnitude
- Background only hypothesis is consistent with the results







#### Searches for rare decays of charged $D^+$ and $D^+_{c}$ mesons JHEP 06 (2021) 044

- Provides competitive results, improves old limits up to two orders of magnitude
- Background only hypothesis is consistent with the results





## **Study of** $D^0 \rightarrow KK\mu\mu$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi\mu\mu$

#### First observation:

Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 181805 (2017)

- Run 1 (only 2012)
- Center of mass energy: 8 TeV
- Luminosity:  $2.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

#### Angular analysis: Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 221801 (2022)

- Run 1 (2011-2012) and Run 2 (2015-2018)
- Center of mass energy: 7, 8 and 13 TeV
- Luminosity:  $9.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}$





## Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 181805 (2017)

Analysis strategy:

- $D^0$  produced in  $D^{*+}$  decays are used, to suppress background
- PID selection to suppress mis-identified background due to hadronic decays
- BDT selection to suppress combinatorial background

Measured dimuon-mass integrated branching ratio:

$$\mathscr{B}(D^0 \to K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.54 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-7}$$

 $\mathscr{B}(D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-) = (9.64 \pm 0.48 \pm 1.10) \times 10^{-7}$ 





### **First observation of** $D^0 \rightarrow KK\mu\mu$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi\mu\mu$ Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 181805 (2017)



LD contribution example:





### **First observation of** $D^0 \rightarrow KK\mu\mu$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi\mu\mu$ Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 181805 (2017)



Phys. Rev. D 98, 035041 (2018)

- Split into kinematic bins to search for NP away from decays with intermediate resonances





Split into kinematic bins to search for NP away from decays with intermediate resonances



### Search for CP Violation and Angular Analysis of $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $D^0 \to K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 221801 (2022)

First observation: Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 181805 (2017)

- $N(D^0 \to K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-) \sim 35$
- $N(D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-) \sim 550$

Angular analysis (full dataset): Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 221801 (2022)

- $N(D^0 \to K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-) \sim 300$
- $N(D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-) \sim 3500$

#### Enough statistics to perform full angular analysis and search for CPV!







### Search for CP Violation and Angular Analysis of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$

Observed decays allow to study ullet $\delta_{\phi} = \pi$ asymmetries:  $\delta_{\phi} = 0$  $\delta_{\phi} = \pi/2$  $A_{CP} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to h^+ h^- \mu^+ \mu^-) - \Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \to h^+ h^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\Gamma(D^0 \to h^+ h^- \mu^+ \mu^-) + \Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \to h^+ h^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}$  $\delta_{\phi} = -\pi/2$  $A_{CP}$  $A_{CP}$ LHCb 0.4⊢  $9 \, {\rm fb}^{-1}$ 0.2 0.2 -0.2 -0.2 -0.4 -0.4  $D^0 \!\! 
ightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \! \mu^+ \mu^ D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ -0.6 -0.6 500 1500 400 1000 600 1.08 $m(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$  [MeV/ $c^{2}$ ]  $m(\mu^{+}\mu^{-})$  [MeV/ $c^{2}$ ]





### Search for CP Violation and Angular Analysis of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 221801 (2022)

 $d\Gamma$  $= I_1 +$  $\overline{d}\cos(\theta_{\mu}) \ d\cos(\theta_{h}) \ d\phi$  $I_2 \cdot \cos(2\theta_\mu) +$  $I_3 \cdot \sin^2(2\theta_\mu) \cos(2\phi) +$  $I_4 \cdot \sin(2\theta_{\mu}) \cos(\phi) +$  $I_5 \cdot \sin(\theta_{\mu}) \cos(\phi) +$  $I_6 \cdot \cos(\theta_{\mu}) +$  $I_7 \cdot \sin(\theta_{\mu}) \sin(\phi) +$  $I_8 \cdot \sin(2\theta_\mu) \sin(\phi) +$  $I_9 \cdot \sin^2(2\theta_\mu) \sin(2\phi) +$ 





### Search for CP Violation and Angular Analysis of $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $D^0 \to K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 221801 (2022)

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos(\theta_{\mu}) \ d\cos(\theta_{h}) \ d\phi} = I_{1} + I_{2} \cdot \cos(2\theta_{\mu}) + I_{3} \cdot \sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu}) \cos(2\phi) + I_{4} \cdot \sin(2\theta_{\mu}) \cos(\phi) + I_{5} \cdot \sin(\theta_{\mu}) \cos(\phi) + I_{5} \cdot \sin(\theta_{\mu}) \cos(\phi) + I_{6} \cdot \cos(\theta_{\mu}) + I_{7} \cdot \sin(\theta_{\mu}) \sin(\phi) + I_{8} \cdot \sin(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin(\phi) + I_{8} \cdot \sin(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin(\phi) + I_{9} \cdot \sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin(2\phi) + I_{9} \cdot \sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin(2\phi) + I_{9} \cdot \sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin(2\phi) + I_{1} \cdot \sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin^{2}(2\phi_{\mu}) \sin^{2}(2\phi_{\mu}) + I_{1} \cdot \sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin^{2}(2\phi_{\mu}) \sin^{2}(2\phi_{\mu}) + I_{1} \cdot \sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin^{2}(2\phi_{\mu}) \sin^{2}(2\phi_{\mu}) + I_{1} \cdot \sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin^{2}(2\phi_{\mu}) + I_{1} \cdot \sin^{2$$

- No axial-vector couplings in rare charm decays, due to GIM suppression
- Clean null-test in  $I_{5,6,7}$





### Search for CP Violation and Angular Analysis of $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $D^0 \to K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 221801 (2022)

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos(\theta_{\mu}) \ d\cos(\theta_{h}) \ d\phi} = I_{1} + I_{2} \cdot \cos(2\theta_{\mu}) + I_{3} \cdot \sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu}) \cos(2\phi) + I_{4} \cdot \sin(2\theta_{\mu}) \cos(\phi) + I_{5} \cdot \sin(\theta_{\mu}) \cos(\phi) + I_{5} \cdot \sin(\theta_{\mu}) \cos(\phi) + I_{6} \cdot \cos(\theta_{\mu}) + I_{7} \cdot \sin(\theta_{\mu}) \sin(\phi) + I_{8} \cdot \sin(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin(\phi) + I_{9} \cdot \sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin(2\phi) + I_{9} \cdot \sin^{2}(2\theta_{\mu}) \sin^{2}(2$$





### Search for CP Violation and Angular Analysis of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 221801 (2022)

- Parity is conserved due to absence of axial-vector currents
- *CP* asymmetries:

 $\langle A_{
m i} 
angle = rac{1}{2} \left[ \langle I_{
m i} 
angle - (+) \langle \overline{I_{
m i}} 
angle 
ight]$ 

for CP-even (CP-odd) coefficients are expected to be 0

- All asymmetries consistent with zero
- No dependency on dimuon mass



CP-even: *I*<sub>2,3,4,7</sub> CP-odd: *I*<sub>5,6,8,9</sub>

![](_page_48_Figure_11.jpeg)

### Search for CP Violation and Angular Analysis of $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $D^0 \to K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 221801 (2022)

• CP averages:  $\langle S_{\rm i} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \langle I_{\rm i} \rangle + (-) \langle \overline{I_{\rm i}} \rangle \right]$ 

for CP-even (CP-odd) coefficients

- $< S_{5.6.7} >$  compatible with zero
- No dimuon mass dependence observed
- Measured null-test observables in agreement with the SM null hypothesis
- p values of 79% (0.8%) for  $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^- (D^0 \to K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-)$

![](_page_49_Figure_8.jpeg)

CP-even: *I*<sub>2,3,4,7</sub> CP-odd: *I*<sub>5,6,8,9</sub>

![](_page_49_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Statistical precision of angular analysis ~2%
- Branching ratios precision up to  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$ 
  - Full Run 2 dataset:  $\mathscr{B}(D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) \le 3.1 \times 10^{-9} \ (90 \% CL)$  $\mathscr{B}(D^*(2007)^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) \le 2.6 \times 10^{-8} \ (90 \% CL)$
  - Partial Run 2 dataset:

 $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) \le 6.7 \times 10^{-8} \ (90 \ \% \ CL)$  $\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) \le 5.4 \times 10^{-8} \ (90 \ \% \ CL)$ 

 $\mathscr{B}(D_s^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) \le 18 \times 10^{-8} \ (90 \ \% \ CL)$  $\mathscr{B}(D_s^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) \le 14 \times 10^{-8} \ (90 \ \% \ CL)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{B}(D^+ \to \pi^+ e^+ e^-) &\leq 160 \times 10^{-8} \ (90 \ \% \ CL) \\ \mathscr{B}(D^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^-) &\leq 85 \times 10^{-8} \ (90 \ \% \ CL) \\ \mathscr{B}(D_s^+ \to \pi^+ e^+ e^-) &\leq 550 \times 10^{-8} \ (90 \ \% \ CL) \\ \mathscr{B}(D_s^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^-) &\leq 490 \times 10^{-8} \ (90 \ \% \ CL) \end{aligned}$$

+17 more

 $\mathscr{B}(D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-) = (9.64 \pm 0.48 \pm 1.10) \times 10^{-7}$  $\mathscr{B}(D^0 \to K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-) = (1.54 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-7}$ 

## The not so far future

### Things to do

- $D^+ \rightarrow h^+ l^- l^+$  fully exploit the Run 2 dataset with updates of existing measurement and new analyses
- $D^0 \rightarrow h^+ h^- l^+ l^-$  possibility to intensify efforts with dielectron final state

$$\mathcal{B}(D^{0} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}\mu^{-}\mu^{+}) = (4.17 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.40) \times 10^{-6}$$
Phys. Lett. B757 (2016) 558
$$\mathcal{B}(D^{0} \to K^{+}K^{-}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) = (1.54 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-7}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(D^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) = (9.64 \pm 0.48 \pm 1.10) \times 10^{-7}$$
Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 181805 (2017)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{B}(D^{0} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}e^{-}e^{+}) &= (4.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-6} \\ \mathscr{B}(D^{0} \to K^{+}K^{-}e^{+}e^{-}) &= ? \\ \mathscr{B}(D^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}e^{+}e^{-}) &= ? \end{aligned}$$

### **Lepton Flavour Universality**

• Charm can provide a complementary test of LFU:

$$R_{hh}^{c} = \frac{\int_{q_{min}^{2}}^{q_{max}^{2}} \frac{d\mathscr{B}(D^{0} \to h^{+}h^{-}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})}{dq^{2}} dq^{2}}{\int_{q_{min}^{2}}^{q_{max}^{2}} \frac{d\mathscr{B}(D^{0} \to h^{+}h^{-}e^{+}e^{-})}{dq^{2}} dq^{2}}$$

 Any observation of LFU violation, apart from phase space effects, would immediately hint to new physics

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **The Future**

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

- LHCb is an all-purpose spectrometer placed at the LHC optimised to study band c-hadrons
- Completely new Tracker and Vertex Locator for a better vertex resolution, tracking resolution
- Particle identification with calorimeter, muon stations and Cherenkov detectors (RICH)
- Capable of a higher read out rate, up to 40 MHz!

![](_page_55_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

- LHCb is an all-purpose spectrometer placed at the LHC optimised to study band c-hadrons
- Completely new Tracker and Vertex Locator for a better vertex resolution, tracking resolution
- Particle identification with calorimeter, muon stations and Cherenkov detectors (RICH)
- Capable of a higher read out rate, up to 40 MHz!

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Goal is to collect about  $50 \text{fb}^{-1}$  of data until LS4, with an increased trigger efficiency for charm
- Potentially increasing this number by a factor of ~5 after LS4

Run 6

![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $\sim 250 {\rm fb}^{-1}$ 

Last update: April 2023

			2	2	0	3	5	;				2036									2037										2038															
D	J	FΜ	Α	М	J	J	А	s	DI	NI	D	J	F	Μ	A	Μ	J	J	A	S	0	Ν	D	J	F	Μ	1 A	Μ	IJ	J	Α	S	0	NI	С.	וכ	٦	1 A	۱M	1 J	J	Α	S	0	Ν	D
																							R	u	n		5																			

![](_page_57_Picture_9.jpeg)

Ions Commissioning with beam Hardware commissioning

#### **Future Sensitivity** LHCB-TDR-023

• Potential new limits on branching ratios\* Upgrade 1, 2022-2030, and Upgrade 2, 2030+:

Mode	Run1-2 $(1-9 \text{ fb}^{-1})$	Upgrade1 $(50  \text{fb}^{-1})$	Upgrade2 $(300  \text{fb}^{-1})$
$D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	$6.2 \times 10^{-9} 3.1 \times 10^{-9}$	$4.2 \times 10^{-10}$	$1.3 \times 10^{-10}$
$D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	$6.7  imes 10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$3 \times 10^{-9}$
$D_s^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	$2.6 \times 10^{-8}$	$10^{-8}$	$3 \times 10^{-9}$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to p \mu^+ \mu^-$	$9.6 \times 10^{-8}$	$1.1 \times 10^{-8}$	$4.4 \times 10^{-9}$
$D^0 \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$	$1.3  imes 10^{-8}$	$10^{-9}$	$4.1 \times 10^{-9}$

A.Contu - Towards ultimate precision in Flavour Physics, Durham (2-4 April 2019)

Statistical precision\* on asymmetries:

Mode	Run1-2 $(1-9 \text{ fb}^{-1})$	Upgrade1 $(50  \text{fb}^{-1})$	Upgrade2 $(300  \text{fb}^{-1})$
$D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$		0.2~%	0.08~%
$D^0  ightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$	3.8% 2%	1 %	0.4~%
$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$		0.3~%	0.13~%
$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$		$12 \ \%$	5 %
$D^0 \to K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	11 % 6%	4 %	$1.7 \ \%$

A.Contu - Towards ultimate precision in Flavour Physics, Durham (2-4 April 2019)

\*scaled by luminosity

![](_page_58_Picture_10.jpeg)

## **Conclusion and prospects**

- This presentation summarised the most recent results of rare (semi)leptonic charm decays at LHCb
- Reaching a precision on the branching ratios of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$  and a statistical precision on angular observables of  $\mathcal{O}(\%)$
- All measurements are **statistical limited**. New measurements, using complete Run 2 dataset, are on the way!
- Increased read out rate and improved trigger selection in Run 3

![](_page_59_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **Conclusion and prospects**

- This presentation summarised the most recent results of rare (semi)leptonic charm decays at LHCb
- Reaching a precision on the branching ratios of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$  and a statistical precision on angular observables of  $\mathcal{O}(\%)$
- All measurements are statisticar manual dataset, are on the way!
- Increased read out rate and improved trigger selection in Run 3

![](_page_60_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Backup

### Angular Analysis of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 221801 (2022)

 Red marked observables are clean null tests

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 181805 (2017)

Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 181805 (2017)

 $m(\mu^+$ Low  $\eta$  $ho^0/\omega$  $\phi$ High

 $m(\mu^+$ Low

 $\eta$  $\rho^0/\omega$ 

**First observation of**  $D^0 \rightarrow KK\mu\mu$  and  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi\mu\mu$ 

-	$D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\mu^+\mu^-$
$\mu^{-}$ ) region	$[MeV/c^2]$	${\cal B}  [10^{-8}]$
mass	< 525	$7.8 \pm 1.9 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.8$
	525 - 565	< 2.4(2.8)
	565 - 950	$40.6 \pm 3.3 \pm 2.1 \pm 4.1$
	950 - 1100	$45.4 \pm 2.9 \pm 2.5 \pm 4.5$
mass	> 1100	< 2.8(3.3)
I	$D^0 \to K^+ K^-$	$^{-}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$
$\mu^{-}$ ) region	$[MeV/c^2]$	${\cal B}  [10^{-8}]$
mass	< 525	$2.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.3$
	525 - 565	< 0.7(0.8)
	> 565	$12.0 \pm 2.3 \pm 0.7 \pm 1.2$

![](_page_64_Picture_7.jpeg)